Line 3 Replacement Project: Assessment of Accidental Releases: Technical Report

Prepared for: Department of Commerce, Energy Environmental Review Analysis Staff

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Services Inc. RPS/ASA Dynamic Risk Assessment Systems Inc.

January 13, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT - NONPUBLIC DATA HAS BEEN EXCISED LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Preface January 13, 2017

PREFACE

Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec), RPS Group PLC (RPS), and Dynamic Risk Assessment Systems, Inc. (Dynamic Risk) were retained to prepare a risk assessment for potential large releases of crude oil from the Line 3 Replacement Project (L3RP).

PURPOSE

The purpose of this document is to provide information on the risk of an accidental large volume release of crude oil from the proposed L3RP at seven individual watercourse crossing sites. These seven sites were selected to be representative of the broad geographic and environmental diversity, including environmentally sensitive areas, that are: (1) present throughout the State of Minnesota; and (2) intersected by both the preferred and alternative L3RP routes.

Risk is defined most concisely as the 'chance of loss'. Accordingly, in the context of the risk associated with the operation of the L3RP pipeline, the term 'risk' is used as a joint expression of chance (the annual probability of incurring a rupture in the L3RP pipeline) and loss (the consequences associated with such a rupture).

As stated in the Final Scoping Decision Document for Line 3 Pipeline Replacement Project, the risk assessment was conducted to assess potential effects (i.e., consequences) associated with an accidental large volume release of crude oil using computational modeling to investigate releases at seven individual representative sites throughout north and central Minnesota. Information from the modeling of large crude oil releases at these representative sites will be used to make broad assessments of the potential consequences that are predicted across a range of geographic and environmental regions. Results can be used to make comparisons between similar environments to understand the potential effects that may occur at other locations with similar features among and across all proposed or alternative routes.

DIRECTION ON TECHNICAL WORK

Stantec, RPS and Dynamic Risk (referred to collectively as the Consulting Team) were retained by Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership (Enbridge). The Consulting Team was responsible for identifying potential approaches for assessing the risk (as both failure likelihood/probability and potential consequences) of large crude oil releases, and identifying a preferred approach based on comments from the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Energy Environmental Review and Analysis (DOC-EERA) (including state and federal agencies and their consultant) and Enbridge. Once the preferred approach was identified, the Consulting Team undertook the technical work under its own direction. Presentations on the preliminary results of the technical work were made to the DOC-EERA, state and federal agencies, and Enbridge at several points during the completion of the technical work. Every party was involved in each phase of the

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Preface January 13, 2017

work, including formulating the questions, identifying an approach, specifying the model inputs, assessing the outputs, and discussing the conclusions.

The Consulting Team then prepared a technical report on the risk assessment, with the exception of Chapter 2: Project Description, which was prepared by Enbridge. Comments on the draft report were received from the DOC-EERA and Enbridge. In response to these comments, revisions to the draft report were undertaken by the Consulting Team, but only where the Consulting Team deemed the changes to be appropriate. The work's technical conclusions were unchanged by the revisions accepted. A final report was prepared by the Consulting Team for submission to the DOC-EERA.

FUNDING

Funding for the work undertaken by the Consulting Team was provided by Enbridge.

AUTHORSHIP

The risk assessment of large releases of crude oil was prepared by the Consulting Team. The Technical Lead for each section of the report was as follows:

Chap	ler	Technical Lead(s) Responsible for the Chapter	
1.0	INTRODUCTION	Jeff Green, Stantec	
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Enbridge Application	
3.0 MODE	FRAMING THE SITE SELECTION AND ELING ANALYSIS	Jeff Green, Stantec and Matt Horn, RPS	
4.0	FAILURE PROBABILITY ANALYSES	Jim Mihell, Dynamic Risk	
5.0	MODELING OF OIL RELEASES	Matt Horn, RPS	
6.0 Mode	TRAJECTORY AND FATE RESULTS FOR ELING LOCATIONS	Matt Horn, RPS	
7.0 EFFEC	ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL TS OF OIL RELEASES	Malcolm Stephenson, Stantec	
8.0 RECO	REVIEW OF ENVIRONMENTAL VERY FOLLOWING RELEASES OF OIL	Heidi Tillquist, Stantec	
9.0	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	Consulting Team	
10.0	REFERENCES	Consulting Team	

PUBLIC DOCUMENT - NONPUBLIC DATA HAS BEEN EXCISED LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Preface January 13, 2017

DECLARATION

As the Technical Leads for the Risk Assessment of Large Releases of Oil for the Line 3 Replacement Project, we verify that we are responsible for leading and managing the preparation of the chapters of the report, as described in the above table. All technical analyses and all conclusions reflect our work and opinions. Modifications in response to verbal or written comments from the DOC-EERA, state and federal agencies or Enbridge have not modified the technical aspects and results of our work or our conclusions.

Jeff Green, Stantec

Jim Mihell, Dynamic Risk

Jans mile.

Matt Horn, RPS

Malcolm Stephenson, Stantec

Heidi Tillquist, Stantec

Herde Titest.

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Table of Contents January 13, 2017

Table of Contents

	CE		
PURPOSE			
	TION ON TECHNICAL WORK NG		
	DRSHIP		
	ARATION		
	VIATIONS		
GLOSS	SARYXV	/	
		_	
1.0	INTRODUCTION		
1.1 1.2	SCOPE OF THE ASSESSMENT		
1.2	PURPOSE		
1.5	OVERVIEW AND RATIONALE OF APPROACH TO ASSESSING THE LIKELIHOOD	,	
	AND EFFECTS OF OIL RELEASES	5	
	1.4.1 Differences in Assessing the Effects of Routine Activities versus		
	Accidental Releases of Oil 1.3	5	
	1.4.2 Approach Used in Assessing Large Releases of Crude Oil 1.4		
1.5	USE OF THE OIL RELEASE MODELING INFORMATION 1.9		
1.6	ORGANIZATION OF THE REPORT)	
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2	
2.1	OVERVIEW	2	
	2.1.1 Line 3 Replacement Project	2	
2.2	PROPONENT		
2.3	PIPELINE ROUTES		
	2.3.1 Line 3 Replacement Project		
2.4	PIPELINE FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE		
о г	2.4.1 Line 3 Replacement Project		
2.5	PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION	1	
3.0	FRAMING THE SITE SELECTION AND MODELING ANALYSIS	5	
3.1	INTRODUCTION		
3.2	ENGAGEMENT IN FRAMING THE ASSESSMENT APPROACH		
	3.2.1 Initial Discussions with DOC-EERA and Enbridge	7	
	3.2.2 Engagement of Additional State of Minnesota and Federal	-	
2.2	Agencies		
3.3	SELECTION OF MODELING RELEASE SITES3.193.3.1Environmental and Geographic Diversity along Pipeline Route3.19		
		1	

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

3.3.3 Development of Site Selection Criteria for Hypothetical Oil Releases 3.22 3.3.4 Identification of Potential Sites 3.23 3.3.5 Selected Sites for Modeling and Comparison of Site Characteristics and Equivalency 3.33 3.3.6 Description of Representative Release Locations 3.38 3.4 SELECTION OF MODELING TOOLS. 3.40 3.4.1 Approach 3.43 3.4.2 OILMAP Land 3.43 3.4.3 SIMAP 3.43 4.0 PIPELINE FAILURE PROBABILITY ANALYSIS 4.45 4.1 INREAT ASSESSMENT 4.46 4.1.2 Introduction 4.46 4.1.3 Scope 4.47 4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.52 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Toreat Potential Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.81 4.2.2 Introduction 4.90 </th <th></th> <th>3.3.2</th> <th>Framing the Assessment of Hypothetical Large Releases of Crude Oil</th> <th>3 10</th>		3.3.2	Framing the Assessment of Hypothetical Large Releases of Crude Oil	3 10
3.3.4 Identification of Potential Sites 3.23 3.3.5 Selected Sites for Modeling and Comparison of Site 3.33 Characteristics and Equivalency 3.33 3.3.6 Description of Representative Release Locations 3.38 3.4 SELECTION OF MODELING TOOLS. 3.40 3.4.1 Approach 3.43 3.4.2 OILMAP Land. 3.43 3.4.3 SIMAP 3.43 4.1 THREAT ASSESSMENT 4.46 4.1.1 Overview of the Threat Assessment 4.46 4.1.2 Introduction 4.46 4.1.3 Scope 4.47 4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.52 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Threat Potential Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANIITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANIITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.81 4.2 GUANIITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary		3.3.3	Development of Site Selection Criteria for Hypothetical Oil	
3.3.5 Selected Sites for Modeling and Comparison of Site Characteristics and Equivalency 3.33 3.3.6 Description of Representative Release Locations 3.38 3.4 SELECTION OF MODELING TOOLS 3.40 3.4.1 Approach 3.40 3.4.2 OILMAP Land 3.43 3.4.3 SIMAP 3.43 4.4 HIRENT ASSESSMENT 4.46 4.1 THREAT ASSESSMENT 4.46 4.1.2 Introduction 4.46 4.1.3 Scope 4.47 4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.53 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Threat Potential Summary 4.81 4.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.81 4.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.81 4.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.3 Failure Frequency Approach 4.91 4.2.4 Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency 4.91 4.2.4 Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency		224		
Characteristics and Equivalency 3.33 3.3.6 Description of Representative Release Locations 3.38 3.4 SELECTION OF MODELING TOOLS 3.40 3.4.1 Approach 3.40 3.4.2 OILMAP Land 3.43 3.4.3 SIMAP 3.43 4.0 PIPELINE FAILURE PROBABILITY ANALYSIS 4.45 4.1 THREAT ASSESSMENT 4.46 4.1.2 Introduction 4.46 4.1.3 Scope 4.47 4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.53 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Threat Potential Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANTITATIVE REQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANTITATIVE REQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Iverview and Summary 4.81 4.2 GUANTITATIVE REQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Iverview and Summary 4.81 4.2.2 Introduction 4.90 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> 3.23</td></t<>				3.23
3.3.6 Description of Representative Release Locations 3.38 3.4 SELECTION OF MODELING TOOLS 3.40 3.4.1 Approach 3.40 3.4.2 OILMAP Land 3.43 3.4.3 SIMAP 3.43 3.4.3 SIMAP 3.43 4.0 PIPELINE FAILURE PROBABILITY ANALYSIS 4.45 4.1 THREAT ASSESSMENT 4.46 4.1.1 Overview of the Threat Assessment 4.46 4.1.2 Introduction 4.46 4.1.3 Scope 4.47 4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.52 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Threat Potential Summary 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.89 4.2.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.3 Failure Frequency Approach 4.91 4.2.4 Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency 4.120 5.1 DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS 5.127 5.1 DILMAP Land 5.127 5.1.2 SIMAP 5.127 5.1		0.0.0		3 33
3.4 SELECTION OF MODELING TOOLS		336		
3.4.1 Approach 3.40 3.4.2 OILMAP Land 3.43 3.4.3 SIMAP 3.43 4.0 PIPELINE FAILURE PROBABILITY ANALYSIS 4.45 4.1 THREAT ASSESSMENT 4.46 4.1.1 Overview of the Threat Assessment 4.46 4.1.2 Introduction 4.46 4.1.3 Scope 4.47 4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.53 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Threat Potential Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.89 4.2.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.3 Failure Frequency Approach 4.91 4.2.4 Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency 4.120 5.0 MODELING OF OIL RELEASES 5.127 5.1 DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS 5.127 5.1.2 SIMAP 5.136 5.2.1 Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event 5.149 5.2.2 Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release	3.4			
3.4.2 OILMAP Land	0.1			
4.0 PIPELINE FAILURE PROBABILITY ANALYSIS 4.45 4.1 THREAT ASSESSMENT 4.46 4.1.1 Overview of the Threat Assessment 4.46 4.1.2 Introduction 4.46 4.1.3 Scope 4.47 4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.52 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Threat Potential Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.3 Failure Frequency Approach 4.91 4.2.4 Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency 4.120 5.0 MODELING OF OIL RELEASES 5.125 5.1 DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS 5.127 5.1.1 OILMAP Land 5.127 5.1.2 SIMAP 5.136 5.2.4 L4 hour Unmitigated Oil Release 5.152 5.3 INPUT DATA SETS 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data <				
4.1 THREAT ASSESSMENT 4.46 4.1.1 Overview of the Threat Assessment 4.46 4.1.2 Introduction 4.46 4.1.3 Scope 4.47 4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.52 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Threat Potential Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.89 4.2.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.3 Failure Frequency Approach 4.91 4.2.4 Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency 4.120 5.0 MODELING OF OIL RELEASES 5.125 5.1 DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS 5.127 5.1.1 OILMAP Land 5.127 5.1.2 SIMAP 5.136 5.2.4 Z4 hour Unmitigated Oil Release 5.152 5.2.3 Crude Oil Release Volume 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.156 <		3.4.3	SIMAP	3.43
4.1.1 Overview of the Threat Assessment 4.46 4.1.2 Introduction 4.46 4.1.3 Scope 4.47 4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.52 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Threat Potential Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.89 4.2.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.3 Failure Frequency Approach 4.91 4.2.4 Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency 4.120 5.0 MODELING OF OIL RELEASES 5.125 5.1 DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS 5.127 5.1.1 OILMAP Land 5.127 5.1.2 SIMAP 5.136 5.2.2 Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios 5.149 5.2.3 Crude Oil Release Volume 5.150 5.2.4 24 hour Unmitigated Oil Release 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.3 <t< td=""><td>4.0</td><td>PIPELINE F</td><td>FAILURE PROBABILITY ANALYSIS</td><td>4.45</td></t<>	4.0	PIPELINE F	FAILURE PROBABILITY ANALYSIS	4.45
4.1.2 Introduction 4.46 4.1.3 Scope 4.47 4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.52 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Threat Potential Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANIITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.89 4.2.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.3 Failure Frequency Approach 4.91 4.2.4 Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency 4.120 5.0 MODELING OF OIL RELEASES 5.125 5.1 DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS 5.127 5.1.2 SIMAP 5.136 5.2 KEY ASSUMPTIONS 5.149 5.2.1 Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event 5.149 5.2.2 Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios 5.149 5.2.3 Crude Oil Release Volume 5.152 5.3.3 Ciude Oil Types 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.156 5.3.3 SIMAP <	4.1	THREAT A	SSESSMENT	4.46
4.1.3 Scope 4.47 4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.52 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Threat Potential Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANIITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.89 4.2.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.3 Failure Frequency Approach 4.91 4.2.4 Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency 4.120 5.0 MODELING OF OIL RELEASES 5.125 5.1 DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS 5.127 5.1.2 SIMAP 5.136 5.2 KEY ASSUMPTIONS 5.149 5.2.1 Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event 5.149 5.2.2 Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios 5.149 5.2.3 Crude Oil Release Volume 5.150 5.2.4 24 hour Unmitigated Oil Release 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.2 OILMAP 5.156 5.3.3		4.1.1	Overview of the Threat Assessment	4.46
4.1.4 Threat Assessment Approach 4.52 4.1.5 Assessment of Threats 4.53 4.1.6 Threat Potential Summary 4.81 4.2 QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.89 4.2.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.3 Failure Frequency Approach 4.91 4.2.4 Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency 4.120 5.0 MODELING OF OIL RELEASES 5.125 5.1 DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS 5.127 5.1.2 SIMAP 5.136 5.2 KEY ASSUMPTIONS 5.149 5.2.1 Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event 5.149 5.2.2 Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios 5.149 5.2.3 Crude Oil Release Volume 5.150 5.2.4 24 hour Unmitigated Oil Release 5.152 5.3 INPUT DATA SETS 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.2 OILMAP 5.152 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.156 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
4.1.5Assessment of Threats4.534.1.6Threat Potential Summary4.814.2QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS4.894.2.1Overview and Summary4.894.2.2Introduction4.904.2.3Failure Frequency Approach4.914.2.4Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency4.1205.0MODELING OF OIL RELEASES5.1255.1DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS5.1275.1.1OILMAP Land5.1275.1.2SIMAP5.1365.2KEY ASSUMPTIONS5.1495.2.1Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event5.1495.2.2Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios5.1495.2.3Crude Oil Release Volume5.1505.2.424 hour Unmitigated Oil Release5.1525.3INPUT DATA SETS5.1525.3.1Oil Property Data5.1525.3.3SIMAP5.1565.3.3SIMAP5.189				
4.1.6Threat Potential Summary4.814.2QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS4.894.2.1Overview and Summary4.894.2.2Introduction4.904.2.3Failure Frequency Approach4.914.2.4Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency4.1205.0MODELING OF OIL RELEASES5.1255.1DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS5.1275.1.1OILMAP Land5.1275.1.2SIMAP5.1365.2KEY ASSUMPTIONS5.1495.2.1Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event5.1495.2.2Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios5.1495.2.3Crude Oil Release Volume5.1525.3INPUT DATA SETS5.1525.3.1Oil Property Data5.1525.3.3SIMAP5.1565.3.3SIMAP5.189				
4.2 QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS 4.89 4.2.1 Overview and Summary 4.89 4.2.2 Introduction 4.90 4.2.3 Failure Frequency Approach 4.91 4.2.4 Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency 4.120 5.0 MODELING OF OIL RELEASES 5.125 5.1 DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS 5.127 5.1.2 SIMAP 5.136 5.2 KEY ASSUMPTIONS 5.149 5.2.1 Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event 5.149 5.2.2 Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios 5.149 5.2.4 24 hour Unmitigated Oil Release 5.152 5.3 INPUT DATA SETS 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.2 OILMAP 5.152 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.156 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.152 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.152 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.152				
4.2.1Overview and Summary				
4.2.2Introduction4.904.2.3Failure Frequency Approach4.914.2.4Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency4.1205.0MODELING OF OIL RELEASES5.1255.1DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS5.1275.1.1OILMAP Land5.1275.1.2SIMAP5.1365.2KEY ASSUMPTIONS5.1495.2.1Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event5.1495.2.2Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios5.1495.2.3Crude Oil Release Volume5.1505.2.424 hour Unmitigated Oil Release5.1525.3INPUT DATA SETS5.1525.3.1Oil Property Data5.1525.3.3SIMAP5.189	4.2			
4.2.3Failure Frequency Approach			,	
4.2.4Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency4.1205.0MODELING OF OIL RELEASES5.1255.1DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS5.1275.1.1OILMAP Land5.1275.1.2SIMAP5.1365.2KEY ASSUMPTIONS5.1495.2.1Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event5.1495.2.2Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios5.1495.2.3Crude Oil Release Volume5.1505.2.424 hour Unmitigated Oil Release5.1525.3INPUT DATA SETS5.1525.3.1Oil Property Data5.1525.3.3SIMAP5.189				
5.0 MODELING OF OIL RELEASES. 5.125 5.1 DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS 5.127 5.1.1 OILMAP Land. 5.127 5.1.2 SIMAP. 5.136 5.2 KEY ASSUMPTIONS 5.149 5.2.1 Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event 5.149 5.2.2 Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios 5.149 5.2.3 Crude Oil Release Volume. 5.150 5.2.4 24 hour Unmitigated Oil Release 5.152 5.3 INPUT DATA SETS 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.2 OILMAP 5.152 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.189				
5.1 DESCRIPTION OF MODELING TOOLS 5.127 5.1.1 OILMAP Land 5.127 5.1.2 SIMAP 5.136 5.2 KEY ASSUMPTIONS 5.149 5.2.1 Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event 5.149 5.2.2 Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios 5.149 5.2.3 Crude Oil Release Volume 5.150 5.2.4 24 hour Unmitigated Oil Release 5.152 5.3 INPUT DATA SETS 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.2 OILMAP 5.152 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.189		4.2.4	Summarized Estimates of Failure Frequency	4.120
5.1.1 OILMAP Land	5.0	MODELIN	G OF OIL RELEASES	5.125
5.1.1 OILMAP Land	5.1	DESCRIPT	ION OF MODELING TOOLS	5.127
5.2KEY ASSUMPTIONS.5.1495.2.1Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event5.1495.2.2Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios5.1495.2.3Crude Oil Release Volume5.1505.2.424 hour Unmitigated Oil Release5.1525.2.5Crude Oil Types5.1525.3INPUT DATA SETS5.1525.3.1Oil Property Data5.1525.3.2OILMAP5.1565.3.3SIMAP5.189				
5.2.1Full Bore Rupture is an Unlikely Event5.1495.2.2Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios5.1495.2.3Crude Oil Release Volume5.1505.2.424 hour Unmitigated Oil Release5.1525.2.5Crude Oil Types5.1525.3INPUT DATA SETS5.1525.3.1Oil Property Data5.1525.3.2OILMAP5.1565.3.3SIMAP5.189		5.1.2	SIMAP	5.136
5.2.2Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios 5.1495.2.3Crude Oil Release Volume	5.2	KEY ASSU	MPTIONS	5.149
5.2.3 Crude Oil Release Volume		5.2.1		
5.2.4 24 hour Unmitigated Oil Release 5.152 5.2.5 Crude Oil Types 5.152 5.3 INPUT DATA SETS 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.2 OILMAP 5.156 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.189		5.2.2	Identification of Representative Hypothetical Release Scenarios	5.149
5.2.5 Crude Oil Types 5.152 5.3 INPUT DATA SETS 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.2 OILMAP 5.156 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.189				
5.3 INPUT DATA SETS 5.152 5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.2 OILMAP 5.156 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.189				
5.3.1 Oil Property Data 5.152 5.3.2 OILMAP 5.156 5.3.3 SIMAP 5.189				
5.3.2 OILMAP	5.3			
5.3.3 SIMAP				
6.0 TRAJECTORY AND FATE RESULTS FOR MODELING LOCATIONS		5.3.3	SIMAP	5.189
	6.0	TRAJECTO	DRY AND FATE RESULTS FOR MODELING LOCATIONS	6.210
6.1 DESCRIPTION OF OILMAP LAND MODEL OUTPUTS				

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

	6.1.1	Unmitigated Hypothetical Release Case 1—Mosquito Creek to Lower Rice Lake	6.210
	6.1.2	Unmitigated Hypothetical Release Case 2—Mississippi River at Ball Club	
	6.1.3 6.1.4	Unmitigated Hypothetical Release Case 3—Sandy River Unmitigated Hypothetical Release Case 4—Shell River to Twin	
		Lakes	
	6.1.5	Unmitigated Hypothetical Release Case 5-Red River	
()	6.1.2	Summary of OILMAP Land Trajectory and Fate Results	
6.2	6.2.1	ON OF SIMAP MODEL OUTPUTS Unmitigated Hypothetical Release Case 6—Mississippi River at	6.245
	0.2.1	Palisade	6 216
	6.2.2	Unmitigated Hypothetical Release Case 7—Mississippi River at Little Falls	
	6.2.3	Summary of SIMAP Trajectory and Fate Results	
	0.2.0		0.047
7.0	ASSESSME	ENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF OIL RELEASES	7.349
7.1		F OBSERVED AND EXPECTED EFFECTS OF OIL RELEASES ON KEY	
		CAL AND HUMAN RECEPTORS	
	7.1.1	Fate of Oils Released to Freshwater Environments	
	7.1.2	Land Cover Receptors	
	7.1.3	Biological Receptors	
	7.1.4	Human Receptors	7.575
7.2		ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF LARGE RELEASES OF CRUDE OIL	
		SQUITO CREEK	
	7.2.1	Description of the Freshwater Environment	7.594
	7.2.2	High Consequence Area Assessment for the Mosquito Creek to	
		Lower Rice Lake Scenario	7.598
	7.2.3	Selection of Key Ecological and Human Environment Receptors	
		for Mosquito Creek to Lower Rice Lake Scenario	
	7.2.4	Modeled Conditions at the Release Location	/.602
	7.2.5	Summary of Predicted Downstream Transport of Bakken and	7 (0)
	- • <i>i</i>	Cold Lake Crude Oils	/.604
	7.2.6	Qualitative EHHRA for the Mosquito Creek to Lower Rice Lake	7 / 00
7.3		Scenario ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF LARGE RELEASES OF CRUDE OIL TO	/.608
7.5			7 4 9 2
	7.3.1	SSIPPI RIVER AT BALL CLUB Description of the Freshwater Environment	
	7.3.1		/.023
	7.J.Z	High Consequence Area Assessment for the Mississippi River near Ball Club Crossing Location	7 407
	7.3.3	Selection of Key Ecological and Human Environment Receptors	1.02/
	1.0.0	for Mississippi River near Ball Club Crossing Location	7 600
	7.3.4	Modeled Conditions at the Release Location	

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

	7.3.5	Summary of Predicted Downstream Transport of Bakken and Cold Lake Crude Oils	3
	7.3.6	Qualitative EHHRA for the Mississippi River near Ball Club	0
7.4		D ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF LARGE RELEASES OF CRUDE OIL AT	
		Y RIVER CROSSING	
	7.4.1	Description of the Freshwater Environment	
	7.4.2	High Consequence Area Assessment for the Sandy River, MN 7.660	0
	7.4.3	Selection of Key Ecological and Human Environment Receptors	~
		for Sandy River	
	7.4.4	Modeled Conditions at the Release Location	5
	7.4.5	Summary of Predicted Downstream Transport of Bakken and	,
	7	Cold Lake Crude Oils	
	7.4.6	Qualitative EHHRA for the Sandy River	I
7.5		DENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF LARGE RELEASES OF CRUDE OIL TO	_
		RIVER CROSSING AT TWIN LAKES, MN	
	7.5.1	Description of the Freshwater Environment	5
	7.5.2	High Consequence Area Assessment for the Shell River at Twin	~
	7 5 0	Lakes, MN	9
	7.5.3	Selection of Key Ecological and Human Environment Receptors	-
	- - 4	for Shell River at Twin Lakes	
	7.5.4	Modeled Conditions at the Release Location	3
	7.5.5	Summary of Predicted Downstream Transport of Bakken and	~
	7 5 /	Cold Lake Crude Oils	
7.6	7.5.6	Qualitative EHHRA for the Shell River at Twin Lakes	J
7.0			,
	7.6.1	RIVER	
	7.6.2	High Consequence Area Assessment for the Red River	
	7.6.3	Selection of Key Ecological and Human Environment Receptors	J
	7.0.0	for Red River	2
	7.6.4	Modeled Conditions at the Release Location	
	7.6.5	Summary of Predicted Downstream Transport of Bakken and	9
	7.0.0	Cold Lake Crude Oils	5
	7.6.6	Qualitative EHHRA for the Red River	
7.7		D ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF LARGE RELEASES OF CRUDE OIL TO	0
, .,		SSIPPI RIVER AT PALISADE	3
	7.7.1	Description of the Freshwater Environment	
	7.7.2	High Consequence Area Assessment for the Mississippi River	0
	, ,, ,	near Palisade Crossing Location	7
	7.7.3	Selection of Key Ecological and Human Environment Receptors	
		for Mississippi River near Palisade Crossing Location	0
	7.7.4	Modeled Conditions at the Release Location	
	7.7.5	Summary of Predicted Downstream Transport of Bakken and	
		Cold Lake Crude Oils	3

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

	7.7.6	Qualitative EHHRA for the Mississippi River near Palisade	. 7.759
7.8	EXPECTED	D ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF LARGE RELEASES OF CRUDE OIL TO	
	THE MISSIS	SSIPPI RIVER AT LITTLE FALLS	.7.774
	7.8.1	Description of the Freshwater Environment	. 7.774
	7.8.2	High Consequence Area Assessment for the Mississippi River	
		near Little Falls Crossing Location	. 7.778
	7.8.3	Selection of Key Ecological and Human Environment Receptors	
		for Mississippi River near Little Falls Crossing Location	. 7.781
	7.8.4	Modeled Conditions at the Release Location	. 7.782
	7.8.5	Summary of Predicted Downstream Transport of Bakken and	
		Cold Lake Crude Oils	
	7.8.6	Qualitative EHHRA for the Mississippi River near Little Falls	
7.9		Υ	. 7.805
	7.9.1	Summary of Predicted Fate and Downstream Transport of	
		Bakken and Cold Lake Crude Oils	
	7.9.2	Summary of Expected Environmental Effects	. 7.806
8.0		OF ENVIRONMENTAL RECOVERY FOLLOWING RELEASES OF OIL	8 811
8.1			
8.2		СН	
0.2	8.2.1	Goals and Objectives	
	8.2.2	Scope and Organization	
	8.2.3	Factors Affecting Recovery	
	8.2.4	Defining Recovery	
8.3		RY BY VALUED ECOSYSTEM COMPONENT	
0.0	8.3.1	Groundwater	
	8.3.2	Soils	
	8.3.3	Vegetation	
	8.3.4	Terrestrial and Semi-Aquatic Wildlife	
	8.3.5	Surface Waters	
	8.3.6	Aquatic Sediments	
	8.3.7	Aquatic Biota	. 8.872
	8.3.8	Socio-Economics	. 8.895
8.4	RESPONS	E AND REMEDIATION	.8.912
	8.4.1	Response	. 8.913
	8.4.2	Remediation	. 8.915
	8.4.3	Rehabilitation	. 8.917
	8.4.4	Restoration	. 8.920
	8.4.5	Summary	. 8.922
8.5	DISCUSSIO	ON	. 8.922
9.0		Y AND CONCLUSIONS	0 0 0 0
9. 1		THE LIKELIHOOD OF A LARGE RELEASE OF CRUDE OIL?	
7.1 9.2		DIFFERENT TYPES OF CRUDE OIL BEHAVE?	
7.Z			.7.73Z

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Table of Contents January 13, 2017

9.3	HOW DO SEASONAL FLOWS AND CLIMATE CONDITIONS INFLUENCE	
	POSSIBLE OUTCOMES?	9.936
9.4	HOW DO SHORELINE VEGETATION AND WATERBODY TYPES INFLUENCE	
	POSSIBLE OUTCOMES?	9.937
9.5	WHAT ARE THE EFFECTS OF CRUDE OIL EXPOSURE?	9.938
	9.5.1 Aquatic Receptors	9.939
	9.5.2 Semi-Aquatic Wildlife Receptors	
	9.5.3 Human and Socio-Economic Receptors	
9.6	WILL RECOVERY OCCUR?	9.941
9.7	CONCLUSIONS	9.942
10.0	REFERENCES	10.943

ATTACHMENT A

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Abbreviations January 13, 2017

Abbreviations

AOI	Area of Interest
API	American Petroleum Institute
ATSDR	United States Department of Health Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
AST	Aboveground Storage Tank
AUT	Automated Ultrasonic Testing
BFGRID	Boundary Conforming Gridding Model
BFHYDRO	Boundary Conforming Hydrodynamic Model
bgs	below ground surface
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BS&W	basic sediment and water
BTEX	Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene
CAA	Clean Air Act
CCME	Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	United States Code of Federal Regulations
CLB	Cold Lake Blend
CLSB	Cold Lake Summer Blend
CLWB	Cold Lake Winter Blend
cm	centimeter
СО	Carbon Monoxide
Commission	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
COPC	Chemical of Potential Concern
СР	Cathodic Protection
DARP	Damage Assessment and Restoration Plan
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
Dilbit	Diluted Bitumen
DOC	Dissolved Oxygen Content
DSAW	Double Submerged Arc Welded
DSD	Droplet Size Distribution

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Dynamic Risk	Dynamic Risk Assessment Systems, Inc.
EAC	Environmentally-Assisted Cracking
ECS	Ecological Classification System
EERA	Energy Environmental Review and Analysis
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
Enbridge	Enbridge Energy Limited Partnership
EPRG	European Pipeline Research Group
EPT	Ephemeroptera spp., Plecoptera spp., and caddisfly Trichoptera spp.
EROM	Extended Unit Runoff Method
ERW	Electric Resitance Welded
FBE	Fusion Bond Epoxy
FSDD	Final Scoping Decision Document
ft	feet
ft/yr	feet per year
g/cm3	gram per cubic centimeter
GMAW	Gas Metal Arc Welding
HCA	High Consequence Areas
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drill
H_2S	Hydrogen Sulfide
HSLA	High Strength Low Alloy
HVAC	High Voltage AC
HVDC	High Voltage DC
ILI	In-Line Inspection
km	kilometer
L3RP	Line Three Replacement Project
lvp	low vapor pressure
m	meter
m ³	m3
MAH	Monoaromatic Hydrocarbons
MAOP	Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure
MI DCH	Michigan Department of Community Health
MI DEQ	Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
MN DA	Minnesota Department of Agriculture

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

MN DH	Minnesota Department of Health
MN DNR	Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
MN DOC	Minnesota Department of Commerce
мос	Measurement of Change
mph	miles per hour
mg/kg	milligram per kilogram
MN PCA	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
MRL	Minimum Risk Level
MT DEQ	Montana Department of Environmental Quality
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAS	National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine
NED	National Elevation Database
NHD	National Hydrography Dataset
NHN	National Hydro Network
NLCD	National Land Cover Database
NO ₂	Nitrogen Dioxide
NOx	Oxides of Nitrogen
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NRC	National Research Council
NRDA	Natural Resource Damage Assessment
NRDAM/CME	NRDA Models for Coastal and Marine Environments
NRDAM/GLE	NRDA Models for Great Lakes Environment
NTSB	National Transportation Safety Board
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
OD	Outside Diameter
OHWL	Ordinary High Water Line
OPA	Oil Particular Aggregate
PAH	Poly-Aromatic Hydrocarbon
РСВ	Polychlorinated Biphenyl
PEC	Probable Effect Concentration
PGPR	Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria
PHC	Petroleum Hydrocarbon Fraction

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

PHC F1-F4	Petroleum Hydrocarbon Fractions F1 to F4
PHMSA	Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
PM	Particulate Matter
PM2.5	Particulate Matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 micrometers
PM10	Particulate Matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10 micrometers
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
PRCI	Pipeline Research Committee International
ROW	Right-of-Way
RPS	RPS Group PLC
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SCC	Stress Corrosion Cracking
SGCN	Species in Greatest Conservation Need
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SMYS	Specified Minimum Yield Strength
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
SPM	Suspended Particulate Matter
SQT	Sediment Quality Triad
Stantec	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
TEC	Threshold Effect Concentration
THC	Total Hydrocarbon Concentration
TMCP	Thermomechanical Controlled Processing
TPAH	Total Petroleum Aromatic Hydrocarbon
TPH	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
µg/L	microgram per liter
UOE	U&O Expanded
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
UV	ultraviolet
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Vol %	volume percent
WBD	National Watershed Boundary Dataset
WMA	Wildlife Management Area
WSF	Water Soluble Fraction
Wt %	weight percent
WQMAP	Water Quality Mapping and Analysis Program

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Glossary January 13, 2017

Glossary

Actinomycetes: bacteria that resemble fungi because their elongated cells form long filaments or hyphae.

Aquatic Benthic Macroinvertebrates: Invertebrates that spend at least some portion of their life cycle in an aquatic habitat, that live in or on the bottom substrate and that are large enough to be seen without magnification.

Automatic Ultrasonic Testing (AUT) Phased Array Inspection: An advanced ultrasonic testing process in which timed pulsed ultrasonic signals from an array of ultrasonic transducers are swept through a range of angles, and subsequently analyzed by a computer algorithm to identify and size defects that might reside in a weld.

Biophysical Environment: The biotic (living) and abiotic (non-living) surroundings.

Biosparging: Compressed air injected at low flow rates below the water table used to increase dissolved oxygen levels in the groundwater to enhance biodegradation.

Bioventing: Ambient air injected or extracted at low flow rates and used to replenish oxygen for microbial respiration in the vadose zone.

Clean up: collection and removal of oil from the environment.

Direct Current Voltage Gradient (DCVG): An above-ground electrical survey technique used for assessing the effectiveness of corrosion protection and for locating coating faults on buried steel pipelines.

Dissolution: Water soluble compounds in oil dissolve into surrounding water.

Double Submerged Arc Welded (DSAW) steel line pipe: Steel line pipe manufactured from skelp that is formed into a tubular shape, and in which the seam is welded using the double submerged arc welding process.

Ectomycorrhizae: mycorrhizal fungi with a sheath that forms around the root tips of a plant and hyphae that penetrate into the root structure.

Electric Resistance Welded (ERW) steel line pipe: Steel line pipe manufactured from skelp that is formed into a tubular shape, and in which the seam is welded using the electric resistance welding process.

Emulsification: Combination of two liquids with one being suspended in the other.

Fish Kill: A significant and sudden death of fish, shellfish and other aquatic animals. Such events are characterized by large numbers of animals dying over a short time, usually in a defined area.

Gas Metal Arc Welding (GMAW): A welding process that utilizes an electric arc to fuse both the base metal and a consumable wire, both of which are shielded by an inert gas to prevent undesirable reaction of atmospheric gases with the molten metal that is formed during the welding process.

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Glossary January 13, 2017

Groundwater: Water below the earth's surface that occurs below the water table in the soil pores and bedrock fractures.

Hard Spot: Associated with vintage pipe manufacturing processes, hard spots are local changes in hardness of steel pipe resulting from non-uniform quenching procedures during manufacture, or changes in chemistry of the steel. Hard spots, when stressed, are subject to failure from mechanisms such as hydrogen-stress cracking.

Hardenability: The characteristic of steel, related to its chemical constituents, which enables it to become hard when subjected to heat treatment, such as heating and quenching, or welding.

High Strength Low Alloy (HSLA): A type of steel alloy steel that provides superior mechanical properties and weldability through the use of small additions of micro-alloy elements such as niobium and / or vanadium along with thermal-mechanical controlled processing.

Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD): A steerable trenchless method of installing underground pipe in an arc along a prescribed bore path by using a surface-launched drilling rig, with minimal impact on the surrounding area.

Hydrostatic testing: Hydrostatic testing is a process of verifying the integrity of the pipeline before it is placed into service. Hydrostatic testing involves filling the pipeline with water to a designated pressure and holding it for a specified period of time.

In situ: in place or on site.

Internal Coupon: A metal strip that can be inserted at strategic locations within a pipeline and subsequently retrieved and evaluated at periodic intervals to monitor corrosion during the operation of a pipeline.

Monte Carlo Analysis: A computer-based technique that employs stochastic resampling of randomly-selected variables from probability density functions representing the distribution of those variables. In reliability analysis, the selection of each unique combination of random variables is used to establish whether a limiting condition has been exceeded. By iterating this analysis numerous times, the fraction of times that the limiting condition is exceeded can be estimated with a degree of confidence that is related to the number of iterations used in the analysis.

Lentic: Still waters such as lakes and ponds.

Lotic: Flowing waters such as rivers, streams and brooks.

Macrophytes: Aquatic plants large enough to be visible with the naked eye.

Mycelium: vegetative part of a fungus consisting of the hyphae (branching filaments).

Natural Attenuation: A variety of physical, chemical, or biological processes that, under favorable conditions, act without human intervention to reduce the mass, toxicity, mobility, volume, or concentration of contaminants in soil or groundwater. These in situ processes include biodegradation; dispersion; dilution; sorption; volatilization; radioactive decay; and chemical or biological stabilization, transformation, or destruction of contaminants (from USEPA).

Phytoremediation: use of plants to clean contaminated sites.

Photo-oxidation: The degradation of oil by sunlight that results in the loss of alkylated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Glossary January 13, 2017

Photolysis: Process whereby molecules are broken down into smaller units by the absorption of light.

Phytotoxic: harmful or lethal to plants.

Reclamation: return of areas disturbed by cleanup activities to approximate pre-disturbance conditions through reseeding and other methods.

Recovery: Return of an ecosystem or Valued Ecosystem Component to some desired state following disturbance.

Remediation: physical chemical or biological processes applied post cleanup to reduce contaminant concentrations.

Rehabilitation: the transfer of oiled wildlife to specialized treatment to clean up the oil and return the specimen to health.

Restoration: Taking action to return natural resources to pre-spill conditions (from USFWS). Rehabilitating, replacing, or acquiring the equivalent of injured natural resources and the services they provided. Restoration includes both primary and compensatory restoration projects (from NOAA). Physical alterations of habitat and environmental structures to restore the habitat.

Riffle Habitat: Lotic habitat of shallow fast flowing turbulent water.

Rhizome: horizontal underground stem able to produce roots and shoots.

Silt fence: A silt fence is a sediment control device used on construction sites to protect nearby wetlands and waterbodies from stormwater runoff. A typical fence consists of a piece of synthetic fabric (sometimes referred to as geotextile fabric) stretched between a series of stakes where runoff is expected to reach wetlands or waterbodies. The fabric filters remove sediment from the water before it reaches the wetland or waterbody.

Skelp: Steel that is rolled into plate form so that it can subsequently be formed and welded into pipe.

Slope breaker: A slope breaker is an erosion control device to reduce stormwater runoff velocity and divert it from the disturbed construction area to more stable ground. A typical slope breaker consists of a ridge or channel constructed diagonally across the right-of-way on a hill.

Sorption: Removal of solutes from solution onto mineral surfaces.

Specified Minimum Yield Strength (SMYS): The specified minimum stress that a material can be subjected to before it experiences permanent deformation.

Temperate Zone: In the Northern Hemisphere, the area between the tropic of Cancer and the Arctic Circle.

Thermomechanical Controlled Processing (TMCP): A steelmaking technique that utilizes carefully-designed hot-reduction and cooling schedules, typically in conjunction with micro-alloying with elements such as niobium and / or vanadium, along with other elements in order to achieve superior mechanical properties and weldability.

Transmissivity: Ability of a formation to transmit oil to a recovery well.

U & O Expansion (UOE) steel line pipe: Steel line pipe manufactured from skelp that is formed into a tubular shape by first forming a U-shape, and subsequently forming a cylinder with a longitudinal seam, which is then sealed by welding.

LINE 3 REPLACEMENT PROJECT: ASSESSMENT OF ACCIDENTAL RELEASES: TECHNICAL REPORT

Glossary January 13, 2017

Vadose zone: Region of aeration above the water table, including the capillary fringe.

Volatilization: Vapors from volatile components of the oil disperse into the soil gas above the water table and diffuse laterally and upwards.

Weldability: The ease with which welding of a given material can be performed without producing defects such as cracks due to undesirably high hardenability (See also Hardenability).