Attachment 2

Public Information

[redacted]

To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: ordinances

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2016 12:48 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: ordinances

The lady at the genealogy/historical society said there were no "official lists of historic structures" or ordinances against the removal of older structures. I think the woman at the regional planning office was probably thinking of state/national historic sites/landmarks, etc., which this of course is not.

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 2:51 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: ordinances

Sure, please. Let's close the loop. But, yes, please be vague. Thank you!

Thank you,
[redacted]
Energy Transfer Company
[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 12:26 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: ordinances

Hey [redacted],

Here is where I am right now--There is nothing in the Ohio Revised Code that mentions this. I'm not finding anything that specifically addresses this for the county or township, except for the county's current comprehensive plan, but I believe that deals with public land and projects that come under their review, like subdivisions. I've attached those pages. The county website does not provide any ordinances or zoning info (in fact the county website got a D- from a watch group rating government transparency ☺), so I called the county's regional planning department and talked to [redacted] who said there were no ordinances or zoning laws outside the villages. I did not tell her anything about myself or the project, but asked about removing an old structure and she said there shouldn't be a problem as long as the county historical society does not have it listed as historic and I should talk to them. I called them but did not get an answer. I will be equally vague when I do reach them—I'm making sure here that you do want me to talk to them?

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 10:28 AM

To: [redacted] [redacted]>
Subject: ordinances

Could you please have someone look to see if there are any state or local ordinances that would prevent us from removing the Stone House? Carroll County, Orange Township, or Ohio

Thank you,

[redacted]
Energy Transfer Company
1300 Main St., Houston, TX 77002
[redacted]

[redacted]

Subject: FW: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 4:39 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

I agree that tearing down the house could be a politically risky strategy. True we would no longer be causing an adverse effect to an eligible property in the APE, but it may negatively affect the relationships with our reviewers and possibly result in some negative regional PR. We also told FERC that we would work with the SHPO to get to a place where there was no adverse effect. The section 106 process is designed to provide *consideration* of the historic resources, but not to prevent the projects so there is a solution, and if traditional methods like screening (which may not be totally effective for visual in this situation and may not be effective at all for audial) are not going to eliminate the adverse effect then there are other options—possibly moving the resource to another location or documenting the resource at a HABs/HAER level (http://www.cr.nps.gov/hdp/standards/habsguidelines.htm). I'm not sure about the cost differences, but documenting would be the simplest. Moving would need to consider the new location—it would have to be somewhere free of adverse effects—and it may be physically difficult from an engineering standpoint. We have not yet received a review response from the Ohio SHPO, but we should be hearing from them soon and I do think it is extremely unlikely that they will not find the property eligible. If we cannot move the compressor station—and I believe we can make a good argument that this is the case—then we begin a conversation with the SHPO that leads to an action on our part that mitigates the adverse effect that is acceptable to the SHPO. I hope this is helpful.

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 5:06 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

I don't believe there are any regulations prohibiting tearing the house down but it may not be the best course of action at this point in time, especially if the Ohio SHPO concludes that the house is an eligible site, which is very possible. We should be hearing from the Ohio SHPO fairly soon - in the next couple of weeks at the most.

I am passing this on to [redacted] as she is the expert in what can/can't be done.

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 2:29 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

Attached is an excerpt from our cultural report in OH on the house. It's "potentially eligible" for the National Register, but hasn't been registered. It was previously known by the state as a potentially eligible site already, because we say it was "previously identified", and we documented it in our report again as well. From the report, it doesn't seem to be in an historical district, and if there are no local restrictions, like there would be in the Heights in Houston, then I don't know of any reason why we can't. [redacted], are there any state regulations that would keep us from tearing it down?

Thank you,
[redacted]
Energy Transfer Company
[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 1:14 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)
Importance: High

I do not think we should be asking anyone. If it is not listed on any historical site, then maybe it is an old home but it may not be historical site. I do not want to stir up anything additional. I want to make sure we have it purchased and then we can deal with what ever we have to.

[redacted] or [redacted], can you weigh in on your thoughts.

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 1:21 PM
To: [redacted]'
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

I have been looking into it. There is surprisingly little information on it. Even though it has a year built plaque on the front, it is not on the national historical register, and not listed as a historical site on the state or county level. It does not even have a historical property tax break. I have a call and email into the Carroll County Historical Society, and they don't have an office I can walk into. I was going to ask the land owner directly if she had any information on it, but don't want to do that until after the purchase agreement is signed. I don't want to give her a chance to re-assess her position. Up to this point I don't see that we will have any limitations. I'm still turning over stones looking, but running out of stones.

[redacted]
for ***Rover Pipeline LLC***
[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: March 03, 2015 1:07 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

Any word on what we can do to the house? Can we tear it down or is under some protection due to historical relevance?

Thanks

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 11:51 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

[redacted]

My understanding from speaking with the landowners is that they sold the mineral rights many years ago. So the conveyance will not include mineral rights one way or the other. I don't know if title picked up that earlier conveyance up or not. In return they get free gas from that well for the house. I don't believe that well that supplies the gas is on the property.

[redacted]
for ***Rover Pipeline LLC***
[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: March 03, 2015 12:43 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

[redacted] can you answer

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 3, 2015 12:29 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Fwd: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

Please see below, we are purchasing the minerals correct? Thanks

[redacted]

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

> **From:** [redacted]
> **Date:** March 3, 2015 at 10:55:02 AM CST
> **To:** [redacted]
> **Subject: RE: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)**

[redacted], it looks fine. There's no mineral reservation clause, so I'm guessing we are buying it all from Owners subject to existing encumbrances?

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 8:41 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

[redacted] please conduct a review on the attached and let us know if you have nay edits. Thanks

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 7:20 AM
To: '[redacted]'
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

[redacted],

Need the attached doc reviewed by legal.

Thanks, [redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, March 2, 2015 6:12 PM
To: [redacted]'
Subject: FW: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

[redacted]

I just caught something this time through. I made my change in green. The option check is not to be written out to the owner.

[redacted]
for ***Rover Pipeline LLC***
[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: March 02, 2015 6:05 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Hunt Purchase Option (Historical House)

[redacted]

Can we get this approved by legal and signed by [redacted]. The land owner made only a couple of changes (in red) that I'm sure we will have no problems with. I did a compare in Word to be sure they were the only changes.

[redacted]
for ***Rover Pipeline LLC***
[redacted]

Private and confidential as detailed here. If you cannot access hyperlink, please e-mail sender.
Private and confidential as detailed here. If you cannot access hyperlink, please e-mail sender.
Private and confidential as detailed here. If you cannot access hyperlink, please e-mail sender.